Interview Summary

Application No. 10/092,629 Applicant(s)

Rached

N Summary Examiner

Peter Szekely

Art Unit

	Feter Szekery	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
(1) Peter Szekely	(3)	
(2) Harris Pitlick	(4)	
Date of Interview Oct 17, 2003		
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	t 2) applicant's representativ	ve]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief descript	tion:
Claim(s) discussed: Claim 23.		
Identification of prior art discussed: None		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	ed. a) was not reached. h)	□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the gener	ral nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement was reached, or
any other comments:		
The Markush language in the claim will be corrected.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	endments which the examiner agr o copy of the amendments that w	eed would render the claims yould render the claims allowable is
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep	parate record of the substance of	the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See A already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH F SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Rec	MPEP section 713.04). If a reply ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO	to the last Office action has FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
	△	2
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner'	s signature, if required

Interview Summary

1.00

Application No. 10/092,629 Applicant(s)

Rached

Examiner

Peter Szekely

Art Unit 1714



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	O personnel):
(1) Peter Szekely	(3)
(2) Harris Pitlick	
Date of Interview Oct 17, 2003	_
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: Claim 23.	
Identification of prior art discussed: None	
any other comments: The Markush language in the claim will be corrected.	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims accepy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST IPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE bord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required